

P.B. No. 5046/04
Presented by Shri. V. K. Sharma
dated 24/12/04

CF 1002 ① ③

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT

BILASPUR.

Single Bench

W.P. NO. 256 /2005

PETITIONER

Rishi Kumar Kurrey, S/o.
Ramlal Kurrey, age 33 yrs.
R/o. Rehuta Khurd, P.O.
Pandaria, Distt. Kabirdham
(C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

- 1) State of C.G. through
Secretary, Deptt. of Education
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
- 2) The Collector, Kabirdham
(C.G.)
- 3) The District Education Officer,
Distt. Kabirdham.
- 4) The Block Education Officer,
Pandaria, District - Kabirdham
(C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
24/12/04
Sent to A.G. Bilaspur

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 /227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA. FOR ISSUANCE OF WRITS IN
THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI ETC.
AND/OR ORDERS / DIRECTIONS.**



1. **Particulars of the Petitioner: -**

As stated in the cause title above.

2. **Particulars of the Respondents: -**

As stated in the cause title.

3. **Particulars of the orders against which this**

उच्च न्यायालय, जयपुर, जिलासुपर

आदेश पत्रक

IV. P. 256

भाषा क्रमांक मं. 2005

विकल्प

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अतिरिक्त आदेश
18.3.2005 Shri Praveen Das, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Vinod Shrivastava, Government Advocate for the State. Heard. It is submitted that the petitioner was employed on the post of Peon in the Government Higher Secondary School, Pandatarai, Tehsil Pandaria, District Kabirdham on 11.10.1991 as a temporary employee under contingency fund. After completion of 3 years of his services, he was made a regular employee by virtue of order dated 4.6.1996 passed by Deputy Director, Education, Bilaspur. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that some arrears of salary in sum of Rs.24,470/- is outstanding for payment to the petitioner which pertains to the year 1996-97. Presently, learned counsel for the petitioner makes a limited prayer that he may be given an opportunity to file a detailed representation before the competent authority and the competent authority may be directed to decide the same within the stipulated period granted by this Court. This limited prayer, made by learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by the State counsel. Accordingly, the prayer is allowed. It is directed that if so advised, the petitioner may file a detailed representation along with the certified copy of this order and the copy of writ petition before the competent authority within a period of 15 days from		

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित - 2 -	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
sunita	today and if such a representation is filed the competent authority shall dispose of the same as early as possible, preferably within a period of 3 months from the date of filing of the said representation by passing a reasoned order on each grievance, raised by the petitioner. With the aforesaid observations, the petition stands finally disposed of. Certified copy as per rules.	Sd/- Sunil Kumar Sinha Judge